

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-9546-2021
Reserved on: 29.07.2022
Date of Pronouncement: 15.09.2022

Pardeep
...Petitioner (s)
Versus
State of Haryana & another
...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present:- Mr. Sumeet Goel, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Manish Bansal, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. J.S. Thind, Advocate
for respondent no.2.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J.

FIR No.	Dated	Police Station	Sections
205	21.7.2020	Sadar Kaithal, District Kaithal	406, 420, 506, 120-B IPC and Section 24 Immigration Act and later on added Sections 370 and 384 IPC

The petitioner, arraigned as accused in the above captioned FIR, has come up before this Court under Section 482 CrPC for quashing of the FIR and all consequential proceedings based on the compromise with the aggrieved person.

2. During the pendency of the petition, the accused and the aggrieved persons have compromised the matter, and its copy is annexed with this petition as Annexure P-3.
3. After that, the petitioner came up before this Court to quash the FIR, impleading the aggrieved person as respondent.
4. On 31.5.22, the aggrieved Pawan Kumar (R-2) appeared before the ASJ, Kaithal and stated that no compromise has been effected by him and his

aggrieved family members with the accused-petitioner and he objects to quashing of FIR and consequent proceedings. As per the concerned court's report dated 1.7.22, the aggrieved has not consented to the quashing of FIR and consequent proceedings.

ANALYSIS & REASONING:

6. Since the victim did not state about their no objection to the compromise, as such this court cannot proceed further in this matter.

7. Given above, the petition is dismissed. However, liberty is reserved to the petitioner to file a fresh petition if a genuine compromise is entered into by the parties.

Petition dismissed in the terms mentioned above, with liberty reserved.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

September 15, 2022
AK

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes
Whether reportable:	No.