

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-7985 of 2021

Date of Decision: 22nd April, 2022

Shivam Soni

Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: None for the petitioner.
Mr. Sandeep Kumar, DAG, Punjab.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (Oral):

This petition was filed seeking directions to the official respondents (arrayed in the petition) to conduct enquiry against the private respondents. The alternative prayer was that the representation dated 22.12.2020 be decided in a time bound manner.

On 9.3.2021, notice of motion was issued to the official respondents.

None has put in appearance on behalf of the petitioner in spite of two pass-overs.

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from ASI-Roop Singh, submits that though there is a dispute as to whether the representation was initially received or not, however, after receipt of copy of the petition, the representation was considered and it was found to be a money dispute. He further submits that the representation would be decided within two weeks from today. The petitioner would be at liberty to have copy of the decision from the concerned police station on any working day.

In view of the statement made by learned counsel for the State,
the petition is disposed of.

Needless to say that the petitioner would be at liberty to avail
remedies in accordance with law for redressal of the surviving grievances,
if any.

[AVNEESH JHINGAN]
JUDGE

22nd April, 2022
mk

- | | | |
|-------------------------------|---|----------|
| 1. Whether speaking/ reasoned | : | Yes / No |
| 2. Whether reportable | : | Yes / No |