

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-3191-2022 (O&M)
Date of decision:- 24.02.2022

M/s Garg & Company
State of Haryana and others

Versus

...Petitioner
...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAVI SHANKER JHA, CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. H.S. Brar, Senior Advocate, with
Mr. Ranjit Singh Kalra, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Deepak Balyan, Additional A.G., Haryana.

* * * *

RAVI SHANKER JHA, C.J. (ORAL)

When confronted with a fact that an arbitration clause is required to be invoked for resolution of all the disputes, including termination of the contract and forfeiture of security, learned senior counsel for the petitioner submits that as the impugned order has been passed by the Appellate Authority itself, the respondent-authorities have conceded and agreed to the request of the petitioner to refer the matter to the sole arbitrator Mr. Justice Arun Kumar Tyagi (a retired Judge of this Court).

Learned Additional Advocate General, appearing for the respondent-State of Haryana submits that though there is a provision of filing an appeal but on instructions he submits that the parties have agreed to refer the matter to the sole independent Arbitrator, Mr. Justice Arun Kumar Tyagi (a retired Judge of this Court).

Learned senior counsel for the petitioner submits that in view of the agreement arrived at between the parties and the consent given by the respondent-State of Haryana for appointment of sole independent arbitrator, he wishes to withdraw the petition with liberty to initiate arbitration proceedings before the sole arbitrator Mr. Justice Arun Kumar Tyagi, a retired Judge of this Court.

In view of the statements made by learned counsel for both the parties, the petition stands disposed of.

(RAVI SHANKER JHA)
CHIEF JUSTICE

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

24.02.2022

AK Sharma/.ravinder

RAVINDER SHARMA
2022.02.25 14:41
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No