

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Criminal Writ Petition No.1531 of 2022(O&M)

Date of Decision: February 21, 2022

Kulwinder Kaur and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARINDER SINGH SIDHU

--

Present: - Mr.Randeep Singh Waraich, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr.Amar Ashok Pathak, Addl. AG, Punjab.

-

HARINDER SINGH SIDHU, J.

Mother and daughter are before this Court. Petitioner No.1 is mother of petitioner No.2.

This Criminal Writ Petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India seeking direction to respondent Nos.2 and 3 to provide protection to the lives and liberty of the petitioners from private respondents No.7 to 9, who are husband, father-in-law and mother-in-law, respectively, of petitioner No.2.

It is stated in the petition that marriage of petitioner No.2 (Tajmeet) was solemnized with respondent No.7 (Simranjit Singh) on 31.03.2019. Allegations of demand of dowry are also made against the private respondents. It is also stated that on 09.11.2021, petitioner No.2 called petitioner No.1 informing that the private respondents had given her severe beatings. Petitioner No.1 along with other members of the family

reached at the matrimonial home of petitioner No.2 and got her admitted in the Hospital. As per medico-legal report, petitioner No.2 had received seven injuries on her person. FIR No.276 dated 24.11.2021 (Annexure P-1) under Sections 323, 324, 34 IPC was registered in Police Station Beas, District Amritsar against the private respondents. As one of the injuries was declared grievous, offence under Section 326 was also added on 30.11.2021.

The grievance of the petitioners is that even after registration of the above FIR, the private respondents are not mending their ways. They are extending threats to the petitioners. It is alleged that a false cross-version case has been got registered against the petitioners and their family members vide GD No.34 dated 24.11.2021 under Sections 324, 452, 427, 148, 149 IPC. Ld. Counsel states that the petitioners have made representation dated 10.02.2022 to respondents No.2 and 3, but no action is being taken.

After hearing counsel for the petitioners and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case/ counter-versions of the parties, this petition is disposed of with request to respondent No.3 – Senior Superintendent of Police (Rural), Amritsar to look into the representation with regard to threat to the lives and liberty of the petitioners.

February 21, 2022

gian

**(HARINDER SINGH SIDHU)
JUDGE**

Whether Speaking / Reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable	Yes / No