

260

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-8089-2022

Date of decision: 18.04.2022

AJAY HARINATH SINGH

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR

Present: Mr. Gataum Dutt, Advocate for
Mr. L.M. Gulati, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sumit Gupta, Addl. A.G. Haryana.

Mr. Himanshu Jain, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

SURESHWAR THAKUR, J. (ORAL)

1. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner, and, also the learned counsel appearing for respondent No.2 submits, that in FIR No.0045 of 01.02.2022, a completest compromise has occurred amongst the petitioner, and, the respondent-complainant.

2. Though, the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner, seeks an order being made, upon the Illaqa Magistrate to, in respect of the compromise drawn amongst the concerned, record the respective testifications of the parties concerned. However, since the compromise has occurred supervening the petition, at hand. Therefore, the above made prayer is rejected.

3. However, it is open to the learned counsel for the petitioner to, on the basis of compromise (supra), as arrived at, to move a fresh petition seeking quashing of FIR No.0045 of 01.02.2022, and, upon the afore petition being

moved before this Court, this Court may become constrained to direct the Illaqa

Magistrate concerned, to make a report to this Court with respect to the authenticity, and, voluntariness of the compromise (supra).

4. Therefore, the learned counsel for the petitioner seeks, and, is granted permission to withdraw the instant petition.

5. Dismissed as withdrawn.

18.04.2022

ithlesh

(SURESHWAR THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:-	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No