

Nirmal Singh and others

...Petitioners

versus

Vijay Kumar

....Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. D.S. Rawat, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Deepali Puri, Addl. AG, Punjab.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in the instant petition is for initiating action against the respondents for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P/1, dated 28.03.2019, in CWP-20724-2013.

2. A perusal of order Annexure P/1 dated 28.03.2019, reveals that CWP-20724-2013, was disposed of as having become infructuous by directing the respondents to grant necessary relief qua the claim of the petitioners within twelve weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order.

3. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner states that pursuant to decision Annexure P/1, dated 28.03.2019, benefits have been granted to the petitioners as per entitlement, therefore, the petitioners are not interested in pursuing the instant petition and may be permitted to withdraw the same.

4. In view of the position noted above, as well as statement of

not calling for any action against the respondent under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971.

5. Rule discharged.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

24.05.2022
'rajesh'

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No