

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

133

**CR-548 of 2022
Date of Order: 02.05.2022**

Surjeet Singh

..Petitioner

Versus

Shakuntla Devi and others

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Gurbachan Singh Bhatia, Advocate
for the petitioner.
Mr. Harkesh Manuja, Advocate
for the respondents.
Mr. Kishore Bhardwaj, Advocate.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J(Oral)

The learned counsel representing the parties admit that the appeal in which application for permission to lead additional evidence was filed, has already been decided. In other words, the petitioner assails the correctness of interlocutory order passed by the First Appellate Court after the appeal has been decided in his favour.

The learned counsel representing the petitioner contends that the Regular Second Appeal filed by the respondents is pending and if the aforesaid appeal is decided against them, he will have to press this revision petition.

Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, the revision petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to file an application for revival, if such occasion arises.

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

May 02, 2022

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE**

nt

Whether speaking/reasoned

: Yes/No

Whether reportable

: Yes/No