

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

109

**CRWP-1657-2022(O&M)
Date of decision: 23.02.2022**

JYOTI AND ANOTHER

....Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF U.T. CHANDIGARH AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present : Ms. Saroj Bala, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Anupam Bansal, APP UT Chandigarh.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ. J. (ORAL)

This case has been taken up through Video Conferencing via Webex facility in the light of Pandemic Covid-19 situation and as per instructions.

The instant petition had been filed on behalf of the petitioners for seeking protection of their life and liberty and also to restrain the private respondents Nos.4 and 5 not to harass or interfere in the peaceful married life of the petitioners.

Mr. Anupam Bansal, learned Additional Public Prosecutor appears on behalf of UT Chandigarh as a copy of the petition already stands served upon the respondent-State.

It has been pointed out by learned State counsel that the Police has already taken action on the representation submitted by the petitioners and has shared the statements so recorded, wherein, the petitioners have dispelled the apprehension.

In view of the above, learned counsel for the petitioners does not press the instant petition at this stage.

Dismissed as not pressed.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

February 23, 2022
S.Sharma(syr)

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No