

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

253

**CRM-M-6024-2020
Decided on : 07.03.2022**

Karan Sharma and others

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

PRESENT: Mr. Kunal Siag, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Ms. Tanushree Gupta, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Parminder Walia, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (Oral)

The instant petition is for quashing of FIR No. 0023, dated 21.05.2018, under Sections 406, 498-A, 323, 506 and 120-B of IPC, registered at Police Station Women, District Panchkula (annexed as Annexure P-2) and all the consequential proceedings arising out of the same, on the basis of compromise/settlement-deed dated 11.07.2019 (annexed as Annexure P-1), arrived at between the parties.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that it was on account of matrimonial dispute between the parties that the FIR in question came to be registered. Subsequently, the matter has been amicably settled between the parties and compromise/settlement-deed dated 11.07.2019 (Annexure P-1) has been executed between them.

Vide order dated 11th February, 2020, of this Court, the parties were directed to appear before the learned Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court on 14th February, 2020, to get their statements recorded, regarding the compromise arrived at, between them.

Report has since been received from the learned JMIC, Panchkula, in pursuance to the direction of this Court, wherein, the factum of the compromise arrived at between the parties stands verified and confirmed. As per the report, compromise has indeed been effected between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will and the complainant has also made statement to the effect that she would have no objection if the FIR *qua* the accused-petitioners is quashed. The trial Court has annexed the statements of the parties in original along with its report.

Learned State counsel too submits that there are no other accused other than the petitioners and respondents No.2 and 3 are the only aggrieved person in the FIR in question.

In view of the report of the learned learned JMIC, Panchkula, and the principles laid down by the Apex Court in *Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303*, and in *Narinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2014(2) R.C.R. (Criminal) 482*, the instant petition is allowed. The aforesaid FIR and all consequential proceedings arising out of it, are quashed.

Needless to say the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

March 07, 2022

J.Ram

Whether speaking/reasoned: *Yes/No*
Whether Reportable: *Yes/No*