

CRWP-1783-2021
Date of Decision: 5th September, 2022

Suman and another

Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Krishan Singh, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Gurmeet Singh, AAG, Haryana.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (Oral):

This petition was filed for issuance of directions to the official respondents No. 2 and 3 not to harass the petitioners at the instance of private respondents and further directions be issued to official respondents to protect life and liberty of the petitioners from the private respondents.

As per the pleadings, the petitioners had performed marriage against the wishes of the parents, hence, there is a threat perception.

On 22.2.2021 the following order was passed :-

“Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the petitioners are receiving threats from respondent Nos.4 and 5 as they have entered into marriage on 15.02.2021 against their wishes.

Notice of motion to respondent Nos.1 to 3 only, at this stage.

Mr. Sukhdeep Parmar, DAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of official respondents. Counsel for the petitioners undertakes to forward the complete copy of the petition to the office of Advocate General Haryana through e-mail, during the course of the day.

Learned State counsel prays for time to verify the facts and the existence of alleged threat.

On request, adjourned to 25.03.2021”.

Learned State Counsel has produced copies of the statements recorded of the petitioners and the private respondents wherein it has been stated that there is no threat perception.

In view of the above, no cause of action survives for pursuing the present petition.

Petition is disposed of as infructuous.

[AVNEESH JHINGAN]
JUDGE

5th September, 2022
anuradha

- | | | |
|-------------------------------|---|----------|
| 1. Whether speaking/ reasoned | : | Yes / No |
| 2. Whether reportable | : | Yes /no |