

Sr.No.119

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-3065-2022 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 18.02.2022

Rakesh Kumar

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. **JUSTICE** MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present : Mr. Amit Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J.

Present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution for issuance of an appropriate writ, order or direction especially in the nature of mandamus, directing respondent No.1 to grant the benefit of proficiency set up on completion of 4 years of regular service.

Learned counsel, on instructions from the petitioner, states that at this stage, instead of pressing the writ petition on merits, his client would be satisfied in case, legal notice dated 05.01.2022 (P-7) is decided expeditiously.

Notice of motion to that limited extent.

On the asking of the Court, Ms. Anju Arora, Addl. A.G., Punjab who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents-State. Learned State counsel raises no objection against the innocuous prayer made on behalf of the petitioner.

In view of the agreed stand taken by both sides, but without adjudicating the controversy on merits, writ petition is disposed off with direction to respondent No.1- Secretary, Food Civil Supplies and Consumer

Affairs Department, Punjab, to consider and decide the aforesaid legal notice dated 05.01.2022 (P-7), in accordance with law by passing speaking order, within a period of four months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

18.02.2022
mamta

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable	Yes