

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

102

CRM-M-7055-2022
Date of Decision: 21.02.2022

Sandeep Singh Petitioner
Versus
State of Haryana Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present:- Mr. Hitender Kansal, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Manish Bansal, DAG, Haryana.

(Through video conferencing)

ANOOP CHITKARA, J.

Learned State counsel has drawn attention of the Court to paragraph No. 6 wherein learned Sessions Court observed that the petitioner was declared as a proclaimed offender. He further draws attention of the Court to paragraph 9, wherein it has been stated that the petitioner was never declared as a proclaimed offender.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that due to communication error, it appears that the petitioner did not explain this fact, as he wants to withdraw the present petition with liberty to file fresh one with better particulars.

Prayer being innocuous, the petition stands disposed of having been withdrawn with liberty aforesaid.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

21.02.2022
Jyoti-II

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No