

**227 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-1692-2021

Date of Decision: 15th September, 2022

Vandana and another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present : Mr. Fatehjeet Singh, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. Arun Luthra, DAG, Punjab.

AVNEESH JHINGAN , J.(Oral)

1. This petition was filed for issuance of directions to the official respondents for protection of life and liberty of the petitioners from the private respondents (arrayed in the petition).

2. As per the pleadings, the petitioners had performed marriage against the wishes of their parents and there was a threat perception.

3. Learned State counsel submits that the statements of the petitioners have been recorded. It is stated therein that there is no threat perception and they do not want to take any action against private respondents.

4. In view of the statements, no cause of action survives for pursuing the present petition.

4. The petition is dismissed.

**(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE**

15th September, 2022

Parveen Sharma