

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-6898-2022(O&M)
Reserved on: 07.04.2022
Pronounced on: 18.04.2022

Sandeep Singh ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab ...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Hitesh Verma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Jaspreet Kaur, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Veeraj Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. Siddharth Gupta, Advocate for the complainant.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J.

FIR No.	Dated	Police Station	Sections
226	11.10.2021	Canal Colony, Bathinda, District Bathinda	302, 120-B IPC

1. The petitioner apprehending arrest in the FIR captioned above had come up before this Court under Section 438 CrPC seeking anticipatory bail. This court had granted interim protection subject to the petitioner joining the investigation.
2. The status report reveals that although the petitioner's joined the investigation but are not fully co-operating.
3. The status report further reveals that as per the Chemical Examiner's report, no poison was detected in pathological exhibits.
4. Without commenting on the case's merits, in the facts and circumstances peculiar to this case, the interim order granting bail is made absolute subject to the petitioner fully co-operating the investigator and complying with the terms and the conditions of interim order. If the victim(s) notice any violation of this order, they may inform the SHO of the concerned police station, the trial court, or even this court, and on this ground

alone, the bail may be cancelled.

Petition allowed in aforesaid terms. All pending applications, if any, stand disposed.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

18.04.2022
Jyoti-II

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes
Whether reportable:	No.