

**124 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Decided on: 2nd March, 2022

1. CRM-M-6912-2022

Pratibha

Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

Respondent

2. CRM-M-6919-2022

Sushil Kumar

Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

Respondent

3. CRM-M-6922-2022

Sonam

Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

Respondent

4. CRM-M-6943-2022

Ravi Baniwal

Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

Respondent

5. CRM-M-7549-2022

Sandeep

Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

Respondent

6. CRM-M-7565-2022

Rajbir

Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

Respondent

7. CRM-M-7578-2022

Rambali

Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

Respondent

8. CRM-M-7584-2022

Parmod Jangra

Petitioner

	Versus	
State of Haryana		Respondent
9. CRM-M-7587-2022 Dharmpal Dhaka		Petitioner
	Versus	
State of Haryana		Respondent
10. CRM-M-7591-2022 Sandeep		Petitioner
	Versus	
State of Haryana		Respondent
11. CRM-M-7613-2022 Pooja		Petitioner
	Versus	
State of Haryana		Respondent
12. CRM-M-7622-2022 Kuldeep Kumar		Petitioner
	Versus	
State of Haryana		Respondent
13. CRM-M-7624-2022 Jitender Kumar		Petitioner
	Versus	
State of Haryana		Respondent
14. CRM-M-7631-2022 Pratish		Petitioner
	Versus	
State of Haryana		Respondent
15. CRM-M-7725-2022 Vikram Singh		Petitioner
	Versus	
State of Haryana		Respondent
16. CRM-M-7739-2022 Devi Lal		Petitioner
	Versus	
State of Haryana		

		Respondent
17.	CRM-M-7744-2022	
Naresh Kumar		
		Petitioner
	Versus	
State of Haryana		Respondent
18.	CRM-M-7755-2022	
Hoshiyar Singh		
		Petitioner
	Versus	
State of Haryana		Respondent
19.	CRM-M-7757-2022	
Sadhu Ram		
		Petitioner
	Versus	
State of Haryana		Respondent
20.	CRM-M-7759-2022	
Krishan Kumar		
		Petitioner
	Versus	
State of Haryana		Respondent
21.	CRM-M-7773-2022	
Subhash		
		Petitioner
	Versus	
State of Haryana		Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Ms. Binayjeet Sheoran Virk, Advocate for the petitioner.
Ms. Dimple Jain, AAG, Haryana.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (Oral):

1. These petitions under Section 482 Cr.P.C. are filed aggrieved of rejection of the prayer of the petitioner to release the cash amount recovered on superdari.
2. As per the case set up by the prosecution, the company in the

name of ASGPEC, Mart Solution Private Limited cheated the investors, promising 4-5 times return on the amount deposited. During the investigation certain amounts were recovered.

3. Earlier one of Investor-Mandeep Kumar approached this Court by filing CRM-M-34708-2019 decided on 1st September, 2021. After arguing for some time, learned counsel restricted his prayer that let the amount be kept in a FDR with nationalized bank. This court ordered that the amount be deposited with the trial Court and the same shall be kept in a FDR in a nationalized bank.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners in the present case also restricts the prayer that the amount be kept in the FDR in the nationalized bank.

5. From the pleadings it is forthcoming that no such request was made before the court below.

6. The present petitions are disposed of with liberty to the petitioners to approach the Court concerned.

7. There is no doubt that in case a prayer is made by petitioners, same would be dealt with in accordance with law.

8. Photocopy of this order be placed on the files of the connected cases.

[AVNEESH JHINGAN]
JUDGE

2nd March, 2022

Parveen Sharma

1. Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes /No
2. Whether reportable	:	Yes /No