

207

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-7820-2022

Date of Decision: 10.05.2022

Gurpreet Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. A.S. Sidhu, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Bhavna Gupta, DAG, Punjab.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

Though the present petition has been filed for the grant of regular bail to the petitioner, but at the time of hearing, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is not pressing the said prayer at this stage and he will be satisfied, in case the learned trial Court is directed to expedite the trial and conclude the same in a time bound manner.

Learned State counsel raises no objection in case prayer made hereinbefore on behalf of the petitioner for concluding the trial in a time bound manner is accepted.

Keeping in view the facts that not even a single prosecution witness, out of the total nine prosecution witnesses, has been examined so far and the petitioner is in custody, since April, 2021, the learned trial Court is directed to expedite the trial and make all the efforts to conclude the same within a period of six months from the next date of hearing fixed before the the said Court.

The petition stands disposed of in the above terms.

10.05.2022

Apurva

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

1. Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
2. Whether reportable : No