

**124 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Decided on: 2<sup>nd</sup> March, 2022**

**1. CRM-M-6912-2022**

Pratibha

Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

Respondent

**2. CRM-M-6919-2022**

Sushil Kumar

Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

Respondent

**3. CRM-M-6922-2022**

Sonam

Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

Respondent

**4. CRM-M-6943-2022**

Ravi Baniwal

Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

Respondent

**5. CRM-M-7549-2022**

Sandeep

Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

Respondent

**6. CRM-M-7565-2022**

Rajbir

Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

Respondent

**7. CRM-M-7578-2022**

Rambali

Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

Respondent

**8. CRM-M-7584-2022**

Parmod Jangra

Petitioner

|                                       |        |            |
|---------------------------------------|--------|------------|
|                                       | Versus |            |
| State of Haryana                      |        | Respondent |
| 9. CRM-M-7587-2022<br>Dharmpal Dhaka  |        | Petitioner |
|                                       | Versus |            |
| State of Haryana                      |        | Respondent |
| 10. CRM-M-7591-2022<br>Sandeep        |        | Petitioner |
|                                       | Versus |            |
| State of Haryana                      |        | Respondent |
| 11. CRM-M-7613-2022<br>Pooja          |        | Petitioner |
|                                       | Versus |            |
| State of Haryana                      |        | Respondent |
| 12. CRM-M-7622-2022<br>Kuldeep Kumar  |        | Petitioner |
|                                       | Versus |            |
| State of Haryana                      |        | Respondent |
| 13. CRM-M-7624-2022<br>Jitender Kumar |        | Petitioner |
|                                       | Versus |            |
| State of Haryana                      |        | Respondent |
| 14. CRM-M-7631-2022<br>Pratish        |        | Petitioner |
|                                       | Versus |            |
| State of Haryana                      |        | Respondent |
| 15. CRM-M-7725-2022<br>Vikram Singh   |        | Petitioner |
|                                       | Versus |            |
| State of Haryana                      |        | Respondent |
| 16. CRM-M-7739-2022<br>Devi Lal       |        | Petitioner |
|                                       | Versus |            |
| State of Haryana                      |        |            |

|                  |                 |            |
|------------------|-----------------|------------|
|                  |                 | Respondent |
| 17.              | CRM-M-7744-2022 |            |
| Naresh Kumar     |                 | Petitioner |
|                  | Versus          |            |
| State of Haryana |                 | Respondent |
| 18.              | CRM-M-7755-2022 |            |
| Hoshiyar Singh   |                 | Petitioner |
|                  | Versus          |            |
| State of Haryana |                 | Respondent |
| 19.              | CRM-M-7757-2022 |            |
| Sadhu Ram        |                 | Petitioner |
|                  | Versus          |            |
| State of Haryana |                 | Respondent |
| 20.              | CRM-M-7759-2022 |            |
| Krishan Kumar    |                 | Petitioner |
|                  | Versus          |            |
| State of Haryana |                 | Respondent |
| 21.              | CRM-M-7773-2022 |            |
| Subhash          |                 | Petitioner |
|                  | Versus          |            |
| State of Haryana |                 | Respondent |

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN**

Present: Ms. Binayjeet Sheoran Virk, Advocate for the petitioner.  
Ms. Dimple Jain, AAG, Haryana.

\*\*\*\*

**AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (Oral):**

- These petitions under Section 482 Cr.P.C. are filed aggrieved of rejection of the prayer of the petitioner to release the cash amount recovered on superdari.
- As per the case set up by the prosecution, the company in the

name of ASGPEC, Mart Solution Private Limited cheated the investors, promising 4-5 times return on the amount deposited. During the investigation certain amounts were recovered.

3. Earlier one of Investor-Mandeep Kumar approached this Court by filing CRM-M-34708-2019 decided on 1<sup>st</sup> September, 2021. After arguing for some time, learned counsel restricted his prayer that let the amount be kept in a FDR with nationalized bank. This court ordered that the amount be deposited with the trial Court and the same shall be kept in a FDR in a nationalized bank.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners in the present case also restricts the prayer that the amount be kept in the FDR in the nationalized bank.

5. From the pleadings it is forthcoming that no such request was made before the court below.

6. The present petitions are disposed of with liberty to the petitioners to approach the Court concerned.

7. There is no doubt that in case a prayer is made by petitioners, same would be dealt with in accordance with law.

8. Photocopy of this order be placed on the files of the connected cases.

**[AVNEESH JHINGAN]**  
**JUDGE**

**2<sup>nd</sup> March, 2022**

*Parveen Sharma*

|                               |   |         |
|-------------------------------|---|---------|
| 1. Whether speaking/ reasoned | : | Yes /No |
| 2. Whether reportable         | : | Yes /No |