

[132] IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

COCF No.311 of 2022

Date of Decision : 04.04.2022

Shyam Sunder

...Petitioner

Versus

Yashpal, IAS, Commissioner, Municipal Corporation,  
Faridabad, Haryana and another

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. H.S. Jugait, Advocate for  
Mr. Deepkaran Dalal, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Baldev Raj Mahajan, Senior Advocate *assisted by*  
Ms. Baani Chhibber Mahajan, Advocate  
for the respondents.

\*\*\*

**B.S. Walia, J.** (Oral)

[1] Prayer in the petition is for initiating action against the respondents for intentional and willful defiance of order (Annexure P-1) dated 16.10.2019 in CWP No.25119 of 2017.

[2] A perusal of order (Annexure P-1) dated 16.10.2019 reveals that while taking note of the stand of the respondents in the reply to CWP No.25119 of 2017, that they were willing to execute the conveyance deed in favour of the petitioner on his furnishing draft of the conveyance deed, the writ petition was disposed of by directing the petitioner to do the needful within 06 weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order with necessary action to be taken in respect thereto by the respondents in terms of the stand in the written statement within 03 months thereafter.

Mahajan, Advocate, states that the petitioner furnished draft conveyance deed to the respondents only on 28.09.2020 whereafter approved conveyance deed duly signed by the competent authority was issued to the petitioner on 30.03.2022 as earlier due to prevalence of the pandemic, needful could not be done due to circumstances beyond the control of the answering respondents for which they tender an unconditional apology.

[4] Learned counsel for the petitioner states that in view of the statement of learned counsel for the respondents, he does not press the instant petition.

[5] Accordingly, in view of the position noted above, statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, besides unconditional apology by the respondents on account of delay in compliance with orders (Annexure P-1) dated 16.10.2019, no action is called for against the respondents under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971.

[6] Contempt petition is accordingly disposed of, as such.

[7] *Rule discharged*

.

**(B.S. Walia)**  
**Judge**

04.04.2022  
'Rajneesh'

|                                   |   |               |
|-----------------------------------|---|---------------|
| <i>Whether speaking/ reasoned</i> | : | <i>Yes/No</i> |
| <i>Whether reportable</i>         | : | <i>Yes/No</i> |