

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

ITA-168-2019 (O&M)

Date of decision: 21.04.2022

Pr. Commissioner of Income Tax, Panchkula

.... Appellant

Versus

Dhanender Kumar (HUF)

.... Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PANKAJ JAIN**

Present: Mr. Yogesh Putney, Senior Standing Counsel
for the appellant.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the appellant-revenue states that since the tax effect involved is less than the monetary limit as prescribed in Circular No.3 of 2018 dated 11.07.2018 issued by the Central Board of Direct Taxes, further amended vide Circular No.17 of 2019 dated 08.08.2019 read with Letter No.F.No.279/Misc/M-93/2018-ITJ dated 20.08.2019, he has instructions to withdraw the present appeal. However, he prayed that liberty be granted to the appellant-revenue to file an application for revival of the appeal, in case something survives therein.

2. Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as prayed for. It is, however, clarified that withdrawal of the appeal by the appellant-revenue shall not be taken to be affirmation of order of the Tribunal on merits. Further, the legal issue as claimed by the appellant-revenue is being left open to be adjudicated in an appropriate case.

3. Since the main case has been dismissed, the pending C.M. Application, if any, also stands disposed of.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

(PANKAJ JAIN)
JUDGE

21.04.2022

Dinesh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether Reportable : No