

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

118

CWP No.3640 of 2022.

Date of Decision: 24.02.2022.

Mukul Gupta and another

....Petitioners.

Versus

State of Haryana and another

....Respondents.

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE LALIT BATRA**

Present: Mr. Nitin Jaswal, Advocate and
Mr. A.K. Ranolia, Advocate for the petitioners.

Tejinder Singh Dhindsa, J. (Oral)

This case has been taken up through Video Conferencing via Webex facility in the light of Pandemic Covid-19 situation and as per instructions.

Petitioners herein have approached this Court seeking mandamus for issuance of necessary directions to respondent No.2-Haryana Real Estate Regulatory Authority, Gurugram, to take decision on the complaint bearing No.RERA-GRG-5543-2019 dated 27.12.2019 (Annexure P/2).

Counsel submits that inspite of the complaint having been filed way back in the month of December, 2019, the same is still pending consideration and no final orders have been passed despite the mandate contained in Section 29(4) of the Real Estate (Regulations and Development) Act, 2016.

Issue notice of motion.

Since an advance copy of the writ paperbook has already been served upon respondents, Mr. Aman Bahri, learned Additional Advocate General, Haryana, enters appearance and accepts notice.

Learned State counsel takes a very fair stand that the complaint in question dated 27.12.2019 (Annexure P/2) would be dealt with in accordance with law and a final decision thereupon would be taken within a period of six weeks from today.

Statement is accepted.

No further direction is required to be passed and the petition is disposed of.

It is clarified that we have not examined the case on merits.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

(LALIT BATRA)
JUDGE

24.02.2022

jitender

Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes/ No

Whether Reportable : Yes/ No