

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**246**

**COCP-320-2022 (O&M)  
Date of Decision : 29.04.2022**

Neetu and another

--Petitioners

Versus

Anurag Verma and others

--Respondents

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE PANKAJ JAIN.**

Present:- Mr. Manit Malhotra, Advocate for  
Ms. Diksha Verma, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Aditya Sharda, AAG, Punjab.

...  
**PANKAJ JAIN, J.(ORAL).**

The compliance report has been filed. As per which the representations filed have been decided collectively by passing a speaking order. However, learned counsel for the petitioner states that it is not strictly in compliance of the direction of this Court and in fact, all the three representations ought to have been decided collectively.

Mr. Sharda upon instructions from ASI Jaswinder Singh states that order dated 07.02.2022 whereby all the three representations have been decided collectively be deemed as cancelled and in nullity. He further undertakes that the representations dated 14.08.2021, 17.11.2021 and 11.01.2022 shall be decided separately within one month from today.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is satisfied on the statement made by the counsel for the State.

In view of the above, nothing survives in the present petition to be adjudicated.

Disposed off accordingly.

**29.04.2022**

*anju*

**(PANKAJ JAIN)**

**JUDGE**

- |     |                            |        |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i)  | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable?        | Yes/No |