

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-7083-2022
Date of decision: 22.02.2022**

Deepak @ Deepu

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present:- Mr. Naveen Batra, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepak Kumar Grewal, DAG, Haryana.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner prays for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No. 08 dated 11.01.2018, registered under Sections 186, 307, 34, 353 of the IPC and Section 25 of the Arms Act, 1959 at Police Station Gohana Sadar, District Sonapat.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was granted the concession of regular bail by the Sessions Judge, Sonapat and thereafter the petitioner was regularly appearing before the trial Court, however, he absented from the Court proceedings in 2019 and his bail/surety bonds were cancelled and he was granted the concession of anticipatory bail by this Court, vide order dated 19.12.2019.

Learned counsel further submits that the petitioner has again jumped the bail on 08.11.2021 and his bail/surety bonds have been cancelled.

Learned State counsel has not disputed the factual position but

opposed the prayer on the ground that the petitioner has absented from the Court proceedings on an earlier occasion also.

A perusal of the record shows that the case was fixed for prosecution evidence for the said date, however, the petitioner as well as his counsel did not appear before the trial Court. Though, no justification is given for non-appearance of the petitioner on the said date when the prosecution witnesses were present, however, considering the fact that the trial is at the advance stage and should be concluded expeditiously, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the petitioner to appear before the trial Court within a period of 15 days from today and on doing so, the trial Court will release him on regular bail, subject to his furnishing fresh bail/surety bonds to its satisfaction.

Till the time the petitioner appears before the trial Court, his arrest shall remain stayed.

However, this will be subject to a condition that petitioner will deposit a cost of Rs. 20,000/- with the District Legal Services Authority, Sonapat for causing unnecessary delay in conclusion of trial.

22.02.2022

Waseem Ansari

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No