

228 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-7731-2021

Decided on: 17th February, 2022

Shyam Taneja

Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Abhimanyu Batra, Advocate for the petitioner.
Ms. Geeta Sharma, DAG, Haryana.
Mr. Yashavi Kapila, Advocate for respondent No.2.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (Oral):

Due to COVID-19 situation, the Court is convened through video conference.

This petition was filed for quashing of the order dated 9th February, 2021, whereby the application of the petitioner for discharging the surety of accused namely Sahil Puri, in case of FIR No. 434, dated 10th June, 2015, under Sections 420, 467, 406, 468, 471, 120-B Indian Penal Code, 1860 and Section 7, 13, 49, 88 of Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988, registered at Police Station City, Jind was rejected.

During the pendency of this petition, counsel for respondent No.2 on instructions submits that a fresh surety to the satisfaction of the trial court would be furnished.

The report of the trial Court dated 10th December, 2021 was received and is quoted below:-

“ It is respectfully submitted that accused Sahil Puri has furnished fresh bail bonds and surety bonds of the same amount which have been accepted and attested

and earlier surety bonds furnished by Shyam Taneja stands discharged.”

In view of the report received from the trial Court, the surety bond furnished by the petitioner is discharged. No further orders are called for.

The petition is disposed of.

[AVNEESH JHINGAN]

JUDGE

17th February, 2022
Parveen Sharma

- | | | |
|-------------------------------|---|---------|
| 1. Whether speaking/ reasoned | : | Yes /No |
| 2. Whether reportable | : | Yes /No |