

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-6945 of 2022 (O&M)

Date of Decision: February 18, 2022

Ranjit Singh alias Raja

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Gursimran Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

This is a petition that has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking a direction to the official respondents to conduct fair and impartial investigation on the complaints given by the petitioner in the cross-version DDR No.32 dated 07.02.2017, under Sections 326, 325, 323, 341, 427, 148, 149 of the Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station Kathu Nangal, Amritsar Rural.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner herein would contend that on account of altercation between the parties in relation to Assembly Elections, FIR No.19 dated 07.02.2017, under Sections 323, 324, 506, 148, 149 of the Indian Penal Code was registered at Police Station Kathu Nangal, District Amritsar Rural against the petitioner and other co-accused persons. As per the petitioner, he had suffered injuries, as such, a cross-version was recorded vide aforesaid DDR No.32 dated 07.02.2017 at the same police station against accused Nirmal Singh s/o Tara Singh and others. It is submitted that later on vide DDR No.20 dated 10.02.2021,

Sections 325 and 326 of the Indian Penal Code were added in DDR No.32 of 07.02.2017 on the basis of MLR wherein, injury No.2 was declared as grievous in nature. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner would contend that despite representations having been made, as on date, there is no logical conclusion to DDR No.32 dated 07.02.2017.

Notice of motion.

At this stage, Mr. A.S. Gill, Sr. DAG Punjab, who is present in court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents-State. He, on instructions from Mr. Gaurav Tura, IPS, SP (Investigation) would submit that the cross-version that was recorded vide DDR No.32 dated 07.02.2017 has been investigated and it was found that the injuries were self-inflicted. In fact, a cancellation report is likely to be presented within a period of four weeks.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as respondents-State and in view of the limited prayer made in the instant petition for speedy disposal of the investigation in DDR No.32 dated 07.02.2017, this court deem it appropriate to dispose of the instant petition as having been rendered infructuous, in view of the statement made in court today by the State counsel.

Ordered accordingly.

Needless to say, the petitioner herein would always be at liberty to contest the cancellation report when it is filed, in accordance with law.

February 18, 2022
vijay saini

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No