

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.3753 of 2020
Date of Decision:-20.04.2022**

Veena Bansal

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present:- Mr. Ishwar Lal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Raman Kumar Sharma, Addl. A.G. Haryana.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J.(Oral)

In the present petition, the grievance of the petitioner is two fold; one, that though the petitioner retired on 31.10.2014, but the date of pension as mentioned in the PPO is 01.08.2011 which is incorrect and, secondly, the two years' service, which the petitioner had rendered on probation, has not been taken into account as qualifying service while calculating her pensionary benefits.

On 17.03.2012, the following order was passed by this Court :-

“Learned counsel for the respondents seeks a short adjournment to verify as to how, once the petitioner retired on 31.10.2014, the pension payment order attached at page 75 of the present petition,

describe the date of grant of pension as 01.08.2011 instead of 01.11.2014 so as to calculate the pensionary benefits.

To come up on 30.03.2022 for consideration.”

Learned State counsel on instructions from respondent No.2-District Elementary Education Officer, Jind, Haryana, submits that the inadvertent error in the PPO describing the date of pension as 01.08.2011 has already been corrected by issuance of fresh PPO on 23.7.2021 and the same has already been sent to the petitioner. Learned State counsel further submits that as per the instructions, accorded to him, the respondents have no objection in counting the probation period of petitioner towards her pensionary benefits in case, the petitioner deposits the contribution for the said period alongwith interest as done in the other cases.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he has no objection to deposit the said contribution alongwith interest in case the said amount is informed to the petitioner.

Learned State counsel submits that the respondents will compute the said contribution alongwith interest within a period of four weeks from today and will convey to the petitioner and within a period of eight weeks of deposit of said contribution alongwith interest by the petitioner, the appropriate order granting the benefits to the petitioner will also be passed and the consequent benefits will be released to the petitioner within the above said period.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the above, no further grievance of the petitioner survives in the present

petition and the same may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

Ordered accordingly.

April 20, 2022
Vijay Asija

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes / No
Whether Reportable	Yes / No