

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**CR No. 656 of 2022 (O&M)**

**Date of decision: 25.02.2022**

Sub Divisional Officer, Sub Urban Sub Division No.1, DHBVN, Bhiwani  
and others

..... Petitioners.

Versus

Suraj Bhan

..... Respondent.

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL**

Present:- Mr. Surinder K. Mahajan, Advocate, for the petitioners.

\*\*\*\*

**ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)**

Heard through video conferencing.

The petitioners have impugned the order dated 30.10.2020 whereby the Appellate Court has directed that the electricity connection of the respondent (plaintiff) would not be disconnected on account of non-deposit of 50% of the disputed amount.

The respondent (plaintiff) had preferred a suit challenging the order passed by the DHBVN whereby a demand of ₹2,64,897/- had been raised on account of outstanding dues and penalty for meter tampering. The meter was being used for domestic purposes. Along with the suit, the respondent (plaintiff) had preferred an application under Order XXXIX Rules 1 and 2 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908, for injunction which was partly allowed by the trial Court by holding that on deposit of 50% of the liability, the electricity connection of the respondent (plaintiff) would not be disconnected. He has challenged the order by preferring an appeal whereby

the Appellate Court has granted interim stay during pendency of the appeal.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the impugned order was passed on 30.10.2020 and thereafter the matter could not be taken up for hearing due to Covid-19 pandemic.

Heard. While exercising revisional jurisdiction, I would not like to interfere in the interim order passed by the Appellate Court. The demand has been raised on account of consumption for domestic purposes. In these circumstances, it would be just and expedient to direct the Appellate Court to decide the appeal expeditiously.

At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the respondent (plaintiff) is also not paying the current electricity charges.

In view of the above, the petition is disposed of with a direction to the Appellate Court to decide the appeal expeditiously. The petitioners would be at liberty to prefer an application before the Appellate Court for modification of the order dated 30.10.2020. In the event of the petitioners preferring an application for modification of the order dated 30.10.2020, the same shall be decided in accordance with law.

Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

**25.02.2022**  
Ramesh

**(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)**  
**JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No  
Whether reportable : Yes/No