

[109] IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCF No.536 of 2020

Date of Decision : 27.05.2022

Virender Kumar s/o Sh. Roshan Lal ...Petitioner

versus

Rakesh Gupta, IAS, Director General, Skill
Development and Industrial Training Department,
Haryana, Panchkula and anotherRespondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : None for the petitioner.
Mr. Pawan Kumar Longia, DAG, Haryana.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Prayer in the instant petition is for initiating proceedings against the respondents for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P-1, dated 03.09.2019, in CWP No.24121 of 2019 in case titled as '**Virender Kumar** versus **State of Haryana and others**'.

[2] A perusal of order (Annexure P-1), reveals that CWP No.24121 of 2019, was disposed of by directing the respondents to decide representations dated 01.11.2017 and 08.10.2018 respectively with regard to the claim of the petitioner for grant of interest on delayed payment of pensionary benefits within three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order and in case on decision, it was found that the petitioner was entitled to any monetary benefits, to release the same to him within three months thereafter.

[3] Learned DAG, Haryana, has filed reply by way of affidavit of Dr. Rakesh Gupta, IAS, Director General, Skill Development and

Industrial Training Department, Haryana, Panchkula along with orders Annexure R-1 dated 20.05.2020, and Annexure R-2 dated 22.05.2020 as per which, benefit claimed by the petitioner in aforesaid representations has been allowed. Reply along with orders (Annexures R-1 & R-2) is stated to have been furnished to learned counsel for the petitioner as per acknowledgment on the copy of the reply available with the learned DAG, Haryana.

[4] None is present on behalf of the petitioner.

[5] In view of the position noted above, it appears that the petitioner is not interested in pursuing the instant petition.

[6] In the circumstances, the instant petition is *disposed of* as not calling for any action against the respondents under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

27.05.2022

'Rajneesh'

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No