

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(230)

CRWP-1396-2022

Date of decision: - 08.04.2022

Priyanka

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: - None for the petitioner.

Mr. Munish Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Govind Chauhan, Advocate
for respondent No.6.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

Present petition has been under Article 226 of the Constitution of India read with Section 482 Cr.P.C. for the issuance of writ in the nature of Habeas Corpus, with a roving writ directing the respondents No.1 to 3 to produce the detenue, namely, Kiran (aged 19 years), daughter of petitioner, who is illegally and forcibly detained by respondent Nos.4 to 6 without any authority of law.

Case has been called twice, however, none has appeared on behalf of the petitioner.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondents No.4 to 6 has submitted that in fact Sachin-respondent No.4 has married

Kiran (detenue) and both of them are major and had also filed a petition for protection before the learned Sessions Judge, Karnal, which has been allowed, vide order dated 28.01.2022, wherein, it has been observed that the Superintendent of Police, Karnala shall take necessary steps for protection of life and liberty of Sachin and Kiran.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Amarjit Singh, has pointed out that on 26.02.2022, the police has recorded the statement of Priyanka (present petitioner), in which, she has stated that she has no objection with the marriage of Sachin and Kiran.

In view of the above, the present petition has been rendered infructuous and accordingly, the same is disposed of as such.

It is made clear that in case any cause survives, the petitioner would be at liberty to file a fresh petition, in accordance with law.

April 08, 2022
naresh.k

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes
No