

130 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCp-283-2022

Date of Decision :21.02.2022

Mandeep Singh and another ...Petitioners

Versus

Alka Meena, SSP, BarnalaRespondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Ashok Bhardwaj, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. Aditya Sharda, AAG, Punjab.

B.S. Walia, J. (ORAL)

[1] Prayer in the instant petitionis for initiating action against the respondent under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 for intentional and willful defiance of order Annexure P/1 dated 24.11.2021, in CRM-M No.49231 of 2021.

[2] A perusal of order, Annexure P/1 dated 24.11.2021 reveals thatCRM-M No.49231 of 2021 was disposed of by directing the respondent to take a decision on the representation, dated 06.10.2021 submitted by petitioner No.2 within two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order in accordance with law.

[3] Today, learned AAG has produced copy of order dated 20.02.2022 giving reference of decision having been taken on the representation dated 06.10.2021 and on said basis preventive action having been initiated in the matter besides report having been submitted by the Deputy Superintendent of Police, Mehal Kalan. Learned AAG further states that a compliance report, bearing No.68/Liti dated

(Criminal), Punjab and Haryana High Court, Chandigarh. Copy of communication bearing memo No.1180/Litigation, dated 20.02.2022 from the office of the Senior Superintendent of Police, Barnala to the Advocate General Punjab, Chandigarh is taken on record. Copy thereof supplied to learned counsel for the petitioners, who states that in view of FIR having been registered against the accused on the basis of representation, Annexure P/6 dated 06.10.2021 filed by the petitioners, orders, Annexure P/1 dated 24.11.2021 in CRM-M No.49231 of 2021 have been complied with, therefore the petitioners, are not interested in pursuing the contempt petition any further and the same may be disposed of as such.

[4] In view of the position noted above as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioners, no action under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 is called for against the respondent.

[5] Accordingly, the contempt petition is disposed of as such.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

21.02.2022
'Amit'

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No