

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(364-2)

CRM-M-6673-2022-

Date of decision:- 29.11.2022

Ravi Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of U.T., Chandigarh

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present:- Mr. Gautam Bhardwaj, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Vasundhara Dalal Anand, Addl. P.P., U.T., Chandigarh and
Mr. T.P.S.Bawa, Advocate.

...

SUVIR SEHGAL, J. (Oral)

Present:- Mr. Gautam Bhardwaj, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Vasundhara Dalal Anand, Addl. P.P., U.T., Chandigarh.

...

SUVIR SEHGAL, J. (Oral)

Instant petition has been filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 seeking grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.157 dated 16.11.2019, registered for offences under Sections 66-E and 67-A of the Information Technology Act, 2000, however, later on Sections 354-C, 328, 376 and 120-B of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 and Section 4 of Indecent Representation of Women(Prevention), 1986 were added, at Police Station Sarangpur, Chandigarh, Annexure P-1.

Mr. Gautam Bhardwaj, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of the petitioner and has filed his Power of Attorney with no objection from the previous counsel, which is taken on record. He submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation in deference of order dated 17.05.2022 passed by this Court.

Upon instructions received from ASI, Mohinder Singh, learned Additional Public Prosecutor, U.T., Chandigarh has confirmed this fact and submits that the petitioner is no longer for custodial interrogation.

In view of the above and considering the fact that the FIR, Annexure P-1, is an outcome of a matrimonial dispute, order dated 17.05.2022 passed by this Court is made absolute without examining the allegations levelled in the FIR, subject to conditions laid down in Section 438 (2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

Petition is allowed.

29.11.2022
Kamal

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No