

CWP-3529-2022

Date of Decision :23.02.2022

Netrapal

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and Others

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Atul Prataap Dhankhar, Advocate for the petitioner.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner has submitted representation, Annexure P/8 dated 29.01.2022 to respondent Nos.2 and 3 respectively but the same has not been decided till date and that in the circumstances, the petitioner would be satisfied if the writ petition is disposed of by directing respondent No.2 to consider and decide the same in accordance with law in a time bound manner.

[2] Notice of motion to respondent No.2.

[3] Mr. Tapan Kumar, DAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.2 and after perusal of the writ petition states that he has no objection to the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner.

[4] Accordingly, in the light of statement of learned counsel for the parties, the writ petition is disposed of by directing respondent No.2 to consider and decide representation, Annexure P/8 dated 29.01.2022 in accordance with law as expeditiously as possible and in case the petitioner is entitled to relief, to grant the same to petitioner in accordance with law.

Needful be done within six weeks of the date of receipt of certified copy

of the order.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

23.02.2022
'Amit'

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No