

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

228

CRM-M-6643-2022

Date of Decision: 23.02.2022

Sanjay Kumar @ Sanju

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present:- Mr. Ravinder Rana, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajat Gautam, DAG, Haryana.

(Through video conferencing)

ANOOP CHITKARA, J. (Oral)

Vide order dated 17.02.2022, this Court had granted interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of the order dated 17.02.2022, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

3. This fact has not been disputed by the learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Pritam Singh. However, learned State counsel has opposed the bail. He further submits that in case this Court is inclined to give the bail to the petitioner then it should be subject to the strict and stringent conditions.

4. Given the nature of allegations, no pre-trial incarceration is required. Without commenting on the case's merits and the circumstances peculiar to this case, the petitioner makes a case for release on bail.

5. Given above, the present petition is allowed. The order dated 17.02.2022, is hereby made absolute.

**(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE**

23.02.2022

Jyoti-II

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>