

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-3216-2020
Date of Decision: 11.03.2022

Ashok Kumar

....Petitioner

VS

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Radhe Shyam Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner
Mr. Rajneesh Chadwal, AAG Haryana

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

Learned State counsel submits that the present writ petition has been rendered infructuous as meanwhile the Divisional Canal Officer has passed an order. A copy of the order has been supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner.

In view of the above statement, the writ petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

11.03.2022
reena

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable :	Yes/No