

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

216

CRM-M-6668-2022
Date of Decision :22.02.2022

Vikas Kumar @ Ritik Raj and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Aditya Sanghi, Advocate and
Mr. Sandeep Vashisht, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Karan Garg, AAG, Haryana.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that though, the present petition has been filed for the grant of benefit of regular bail to the petitioners but as the complainant is yet to be examined, the petitioners will be satisfied, in case, an appropriate direction is given to the trial Court to examine the complainant as a next witness as expeditiously as possible.

Learned State counsel raises no objection for the grant of prayer made on behalf of the petitioners for examining the complainant as a next witness by the trial Court.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstance noted hereinbefore, prayer of the petitioners for examining the complainant as a next witness by the trial Court is accepted. Trial Court is directed to examine the complainant as a next witness either on the next date of hearing or within a

period of two months of the next date of hearing. .

It is made clear that petitioners are at liberty to avail an appropriate remedy in case, they feel they are entitled for the same after the complainant is examined by the trial Court.

The petition stands disposed of in above terms

February 22, 2022
aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No