

277 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-6913-2022

Date of Decision: 01.08.2022

PUNEET KUMAR AND ANOTHER ... PETITIONERS
VS.
STATE OF UT CHANDIGARH AND ANOTHER .. RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present : None for the petitioners.

Mr. Anil Kumar Lamdharia, Addl. P.P.
For U.T.Chandigarh.

Mr. S.S.Kainth, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

VIVEK PURI, J.(ORAL)

It has been stated by learned counsel for respondent No.2 that the petitioner is not adhering to the terms and conditions of the compromise with regard to the payment of the amount of permanent alimony. Respondent No.2 has withdrawn her consent for the compromise and opposes the quashing of FIR.

Even none has appeared on behalf of the petitioners. The same was the position on the previous date of hearing.

Dismissed for want of prosecution.

01.08.2022
smriti

(VIVEK PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No