

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

212

CRM-M-6606-2022

Decided on : 01.08.2022

Rajpal Kaur and another

. . . Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

. . . Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

PRESENT: Mr. Sohrab Dhanda, Advocate with
Mr. A. S. Manaise, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sukhbeer Singh, AAG, Punjab.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (Oral)

This is a petition for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No. 92 dated 30.09.2021 registered under Sections 306 and 506 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 registered at Police Station Sadar Abohar, District Fazilka.

On 17.02.2022, a coordinate Bench of this Court was pleased to pass the following order:-

“Learned counsel contends that the complainant's son, namely, Dharminder Singh committed suicide, as his elder brother co-accused, namely, Harvinder Singh was pressurizing the complainant for partition of agricultural land, who was having support of his in-laws family. Learned counsel has pointed out that the petitioners are distant relatives from the inlaws family of co-accused Harvinder Singh, and there is nothing to indicate abetment on their part to the victim, as the brothers were residing together with their father-complainant.

Notice of motion for 01.08.2022.

Meanwhile, the petitioners shall join the investigation

and would come present as and when called for and in the event of arrest, the petitioners shall be admitted to interim bail on their furnishing personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioners shall also abide by the conditions as specified under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

17.02.2022

Sd/-
(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE”

Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the petitioner have joined the investigation.

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from ASI Jaswinder Singh, has submitted that the petitioners have joined the investigation on 14.04.2022 and are not required for further investigation.

Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances moreso, the facts which have been noticed in abovesaid order dated 17.02.2022 and also the fact that the petitioners have joined the investigation and are not required for further investigation, the present petition is allowed and the interim order dated 17.02.2022 is ordered to be made absolute.

However, nothing stated above shall be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case and the trial would proceed independently of the observations made in the present case which are only for the purpose of adjudicating the present bail application.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

01.08.2022

Mehak

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No