

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

270/2

CRM-M-5305-2019

Date of Decision:10.10.2022

Puneet Goel

...Petitioner

Versus

Sohanpal

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present:- Mr. Dinesh Arora, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Akshit Mehta, Advocate, for
Mr. Johan Kumar, Advocate,
for the respondent.

NAMIT KUMAR, J.(Oral)

Prayer in the present petition is for quashing of the impugned order dated 30.12.2018 (Annexure P-5) passed by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Faridabad, whereby the complaint has been remanded back and fresh accusation against the petitioner has been ordered in appeal filed against the judgment passed by the learned Judicial Magistrate Ist class, Faridabad, dated 28.05.2018/25.07.2018 in complaint case no.5158 of 23.12.2016, under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that during the pendency of the present petition, the petitioner has unfortunately died and therefore, the instant petition has been rendered infructuous and may be disposed of accordingly.

In view of above, the present petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

10.10.2022

monika

**(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE**

Whether reasoned/speaking: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No