

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 3515 of 2022

Date of Decision: 23.02.2022

Kuldeep Singh

....Petitioner

VS

Regional passport Office, Chandigarh and another

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present:- Mr. Ashish Soi, Advocate
for the petitioner

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

The petitioner is aggrieved because his passport has been impounded in violation of Section 10(5) of the Passports Act, 1967 (hereinafter referred to as 'the Act'). No reasons in writing have been supplied for impounding his passport.

It is admitted that the passport has been impounded under Section 10(3) of the Act. Such an order is appealable under Section 11 and, thus, even if there is a violation of Section 10(5) of the Act an appeal can be filed.

In view of the above, the writ petition is dismissed. However, the petitioner shall be at liberty to file a statutory appeal.

23.02.2022

reena

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGEWhether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable :Yes/No
Yes/No