

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.121

CWP-5126-2022 (O&M)
Date of decision : 15.03.2022

Sawinder Kaur and others

..... Petitioners

VERSUS

Financial Commissioner (Appeals) Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. B.S. Jaswal, Advocate, for the petitioners.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

After acceptance of mode of partition Naksha Bay was called and objections thereagainst were filed. The objections were rejected. An appeal was carried against the objections and the same was allowed and matter was remanded for a fresh decision. This order has been upheld by the revisional Courts.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has argued that the order of the Assistant Collector rejecting the objections did not merit any interference as it was in accordance with the mode of partition against which there was no complaint.

A perusal of the order of the Financial Commissioner shows that the revision was rejected on the ground that the Assistant Collector had passed a non-speaking order. He did not mention the objections and the reasons for rejecting the same.

A perusal of the order of the Assistant Collector shows that the observations of the Financial Commissioner are justified. Although, a lengthy order has been passed, he has not deemed it appropriate to consider the objections raised by the parties concerned nor has he given his reasons for rejecting the same. Thus, the impugned order calls for no interference.

The writ petition is accordingly, dismissed.

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE

15.03.2022
Ramandeep Singh

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes / No
Whether Reportable	Yes/ No