

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.117

**Civil Writ Petition No.3112 of 2022
Date of Decision : February 18, 2022**

Ramesh Devi

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. J.P. Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (ORAL)

The partition proceedings have concluded and sanad has been issued. Challenge to the same has failed and the final order passed by the Financial Commissioner is dated 03.02.2022.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner was not made a party in the partition application and has not been heard. Thus, the partition proceedings were illegal and were liable to be set aside. It has also been argued that gair mumkin land has been partitioned and that respondent No.4 has been granted excess land on the road.

Neither of the submissions are entitled to be accepted. Admittedly, the petitioner purchased land during the pendency of partition proceedings. The vendor was a party to the partition application and has also been heard and thus, the petitioner cannot raise any grievance in this regard. Nothing has been pointed out in support of the argument that gair mumkin land has been partitioned and thus, this argument is also rejected.

Site plan at page No.35 has been referred to argue that excess land on the road has been allotted to respondent No.4. A perusal of the site plan shows that the submission is misconceived.

No other ground has been raised or argued. Thus, the writ petition is dismissed.

February 18, 2022
Ankur

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No