

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

118

**RA-LP-3-2022 in
LPA-4939-2018**

Date of decision : 16.03.2022

Gursewak and others

....Applicant/Appellants

V/s

Punjab School Education Board and others

....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S. SANDHAWALIA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI**

Present: Ms. Neeraj Chandel, Advocate for the applicant-appellants.

Mr. R.S. Kalra, Advocate for respondent Nos.1 and 2.

(The proceedings are being conducting through Video
Conferencing, as per instructions)

G.S. SANDHAWALIA, J. (ORAL)

Application for review of the order dated 02.02.2022 has been filed.

Learned counsel for the applicant-petitioners has submitted that the prayer in the main writ petition was that the petitioners be not replaced by similarly situated persons, which aspect has not been considered in the order dated 02.02.2022.

A perusal of the record, however, would go on to show that the prayer clause of the writ petition was specific i.e. to regularize the services of the writ petitioners in terms of the Policy dated 18.03.2011, which was the subject matter of consideration. Learned Single Judge had rejected the claim of the writ petitioners for regularization of their service under the Policy, who had approached this Court. The order of the learned Single Judge had been upheld while dismissing the appeal. Moreover, the order under review was dictated in open Court in the presence of all the Counsels, including the present Counsel, who is

In such circumstances, we are of the considered opinion that there is no apparent error in the order dated 02.02.2022, which would warrant interference in the limited jurisdiction under the provisions of review.

Accordingly, no case for review is made out.

Dismissed.

(G.S. SANDHAWALIA)
JUDGE

(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE

March 16, 2022
Ajay

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No