

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(253)

CWP No. 3014 of 2022

Date of Decision : 19.07.2022

Amitesh Boken

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Navir Jamail, Advocate for
Mohammad Arshad, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Singh Mann, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

Mr. Ashwani Bhardwaj, Advocate for respondent No. 4.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the respondent-State submits that he has instructions to submit that the impugned order qua the petitioner will not be implemented as the transfer was for a period of six months only and keeping in view the interim order, the petitioner is already continuing on the post, which he was holding prior to the said transfer as the validity of the said transfer, which was six months, is going to expire in near future.

Keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel that the impugned order will not be implemented qua the petitioner any further, the present petition has been rendered infructuous and is disposed of as such.

July 19, 2022
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No