

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(212-A)

CWP-3029-2020

Date of Decision : November 24, 2022

Reena

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Suresh Kumar Kaushik, Advocate, for
Mr. R.K. Gupta, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajesh Gaur, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though the petitioner has already been interviewed provisionally, hence, her provisional result may kindly be summoned so that it could be ascertained whether the prayer of the petitioner survives or the same has been rendered academic keeping in view the merit position of the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the respondents has produced the provisional result wherein, as per the respondents, the last candidate selected in the category in which, the petitioner is competing, has obtained higher marks than the petitioner whereas, as per the provisional result, the petitioner has not been able to secure the same marks so as to be in the zone of consideration for selection/consequent appointment.

Faced with this situation, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the above, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

Ordered accordingly.

November 24, 2022

harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No