

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.207

**Civil Writ Petition No.4155 of 2022
Date of Decision : May 17, 2022**

Gurbhag Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Ranjodh Singh Sidhu, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ranjeesh Shelly, Advocate for the respondents.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the respondents submits that the earlier application for issuance of the passport was closed because despite notices issued to the petitioner to explain why the pendency of an FIR had not been disclosed in the application, no reply was received. The petitioner is at liberty to file afresh application and that shall be processed in accordance with law. Thus, learned counsel for the petitioner prays for permission to withdraw the writ petition.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

May 17, 2022

Ankur

**(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No