

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.120

**Civil Writ Petition No.3138 of 2022
Date of Decision : February 18, 2022**

Dharamvir

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Satya Pal Khatri, Advocate, for the petitioner.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is aggrieved because application filed by the private respondent for restoration of a demolished watercourse has been allowed. His appeal against the said order has failed.

The only argument raised by learned counsel for the petitioner is that the watercourse allegedly demolished by the petitioner is 40 years old and it has deteriorated due to passage of time. Thus, the finding that the petitioner has demolished the same is illegal.

The record does not support the argument raised by learned counsel for the petitioner. Under the circumstances, this Court has no option but to accept the findings of the authorities below.

Accordingly, the writ petition is dismissed.

February 18, 2022

Ankur

**(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No