

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-6375-2022
Date of decision: 29.11.2022

Rohtash Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present:- Ms. Pratibha Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. Nandan Jindal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Pawan Kumar Jhanda, AAG Haryana.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that vide judgment dated 17.09.2022 passed by learned Addl. Sessions Judge, Panchkula, the petitioner had been acquitted and, therefore, the present petition has become infructuous.

Learned State counsel does not dispute the aforesaid factual position.

Dismissed as having become infructuous.

29.11.2022
parveen kumar

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No