

Sunil Kumar Alias Sonu

...Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab

....Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Navkiran Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ayush Sarna, AAG, Punjab.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in the petition is for grant of regular bail under Section 439 Cr.P.C. during the pendency of the trial on the ground that the petitioner has been in custody for a period of close to 3 years 5 months ever since his arrest on 11.07.2019 in case FIR No.100 dated 11.07.2019 under Sections 22, 29 & 39 NDPS Act 1985, registered at Police Station Maur, District Bathinda.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that only 4 prosecution witnesses, who are official witnesses, are to be examined and in the circumstances, he does not press the instant petition but prays for issuance of directions to the trial Court to conclude the trial expeditiously.

3. Learned State Counsel states that endeavour would be made to examine the remaining 4 prosecution witnesses expeditiously.

4. In view of the position noted above as well as statement of learned counsel for the parties, the instant petition is *disposed of* as not

calling for any order on the prayer for grant of regular bail in view of the trial being at the stage of conclusion. However, the learned trial Court is requested to expedite the examination of the remaining prosecution witnesses and to conclude the trial as expeditiously as possible,preferably within three months from today.

15.12.2022

ps

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

Whether speaking/ reasoned

:

Yes/No

Whether reportable

:

Yes/No