

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.205

CRM-M-6099 of 2022

Date of Decision: 09.08.2022

Ramandeep Singh @ Ramna

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Ripudaman Singh Sidhu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Joginder Pal Ratra, DAG, Punjab.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that though the present petition has been filed for the grant of regular bail but the petitioner will be satisfied in case, trial Court is directed to conclude the trial in a time bound manner.

Learned State counsel submits that there are total 9 witnesses, out of which 2 have already been examined and 7 witnesses are yet to be examined.

Keeping in view the above, the present petition is disposed of with direction to the trial Court to make all endeavours to conclude the trial within a period of six months of the next date of hearing before trial Court.

**(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE**

09.08.2022

Maninder

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No