

213/1 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-6357-2022

Date of Decision: 11.05.2022

RAVINDER KAUR ALIAS BILLI AND ANOTHER

... PETITIONERS

V/S

STATE OF PUNJAB

... RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present: Mr. Vivek K. Thakur, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Ruchika Sabherwal, AAG Punjab.

* * *

VIVEK PURI, J. (ORAL)

On 15.02.2022, following order was passed:

“Petitioners are seeking anticipatory bail under Section 438 Cr.P.C in the case bearing FIR No. 111 dated 04.12.2021, under Section 306 IPC, 1860, registered at Police Station Bholath, District Kapurthala.

Briefly, the case has been registered on the basis of the statement of Karnail Singh alleging that the marriage of his daughter-Balwinder Kaur was solemnized with Sukhjinder Singh on 11.04.2009. Balwinder Kaur had committed suicide on the abetment given by the petitioners and the other family members of her in-laws.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that petitioner No.1 is the married sister-in-law of the deceased and petitioner No.2 is the daughter of petitioner No.1. The deceased had been residing separately in a rented accommodation for a period of 03 years prior to the occurrence. Furthermore, during the pendency of the bail application before the Court of Sessions, Karnail Singh-complainant had made a statement to the effect that he has come to know that the accused are not involved in the case and had stated his no objection in the event they are granted bail.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Amar Ashok Pathak, Addl. AG, Punjab accepts

notice on behalf of the respondent-State and seeks time to get instructions in the matter.

Adjourned to 11.05.2022.

Meanwhile, it is directed that in the event of arrest, the petitioners be admitted on interim bail on furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer subject to the condition that they join the investigation and comply with other conditions as specified under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

Learned counsel for the petitioners state that the petitioners have joined the investigation in pursuance of the interim directions issued by this Court.

Learned State counsel, on instructions of ASI Ravinder Singh has not assailed the aforesaid factual aspect of the case and has further stated that the custodial interrogation of the petitioners is not required.

In these set of circumstances, sufficient exceptional circumstances are made out to extend the concession of pre-arrest bail to the petitioners. The order dated 15.02.2022 is made absolute.

The petition stands allowed.

11.05.2022

Janki

(VIVEK PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No