

(219) IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRWP-1304-2022

Date of decision : 24.02.2022

Paramjeet Kaur & Anr.

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present: Mr. Chandan Singh Rana, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. Sidakmeet Singh Sandhu, Asstt. A.G., Punjab.

(Through Video Conferencing)

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J. (ORAL)

The present Criminal Writ Petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for directing respondent Nos.2 and 3 to protect the life and personal liberty of the petitioners.

On 14.02.2022, the following order was passed:-

“The prayer in the present case is for protection to the life and liberty of the petitioners from respondent nos.4 to 6 who are the close family relatives of petitioner no.1.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Kirat Singh Sidhu, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent no.1 whereas Mr. Saurabh Bhatia, Advocate who appeared and accepts notice on behalf of respondent nos.4 to 6 and prays that he seeks one opportunity to meet petitioner no.1.

The petitioners are directed to be present before the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court on

17.02.2022 where respondent nos.4 to 6 can meet with petitioner no.1.

In the meanwhile respondent no.2 is directed to look into the representation of the petitioners dated 07.02.2022 (Annexure P-7) and take necessary action as per law, if so, required.

To come up on 24.02.2022.

A copy of the petition be supplied to the learned State Counsel during the course of the day. ”

As per the afore-mentioned order, the petitioners were directed to appear before the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court on 17.02.2022, where respondent Nos.4 to 6 could meet the petitioner No.1 and the matter was adjourned for 24.02.2022.

As per the learned counsel for the petitioners, on account of threat faced by the petitioners, they were unable to appear before the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court.

On a query put to the learned counsel for the petitioner that necessary security would be provided to the petitioners to enable them to appear before the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court, he states that he is not in touch with the petitioners.

Be that as it may, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent Nos.2 and 3 to provide the necessary protection, if so required.

It is made clear that this order shall not be treated as one endorsing the factum of marriage if any.

In this view of the matter, no further orders are called for.
Disposed of.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

24.02.2022
JITESH

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No

Whether reportable:- Yes/No